



October 23, 2014

DAVID LACY
PRESIDENT & CEO

Mr. Barry F. Murdock
Deputy Director
Office of Regulatory Policy
Farm Credit Administration
1501 Farm Credit Drive
McLean, VA 22102-5090

Re: RIN 3052-AC84-Funding and Fiscal Affairs, Loan Policies and Operations, and Funding Operations, Investment Eligibility – Federal Register Number 2014-17493 (July 25, 2014).

Dear Deputy Director Murdock:

Our bank would like to take this opportunity to comment on the above-styled Farm Credit Administration proposal. The tax free status of Farm Credit Banks concerns us, and is considered by us to be unfair.

First, and most importantly, we believe any amendment to investment or lending authority like the Administration is pursuing here should proceed through actions by Congress as opposed to being accomplished by a regulatory body.

The proposed rule issued by the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) seeks to significantly expand investments held by Farm Credit Banks (FCBs) and Farm Credit Associations (Associations) under the guise of a required review of regulations under section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act).

Section 939A merely requires agencies to review regulations that utilize credit ratings and substitute other appropriate standards for review. This proposal goes far beyond that required review and rewrites investment eligibility for Farm Credit System (FCS) institutions, with their tax free status.

We notice this proposal is similar to what was proposed in 2005. This pilot program was discontinued in later years and now it appears the FCA is seeking to codify a program that failed. We have to question why the FCA would undertake this project again. In our opinion, FCBs do not have the same level of examination or compliance requirements, and therefore, by definition, sophistication to engage in these types of investments and lending which we are seeing in FCB's system.

This proposal is similar to the Federal Housing Finance Agency's recent attempt to change rules and laws established by Congress. Our initial thoughts on both of these proposals is that they should be withdrawn until there is a clear and compelling reason to make changes and provide Congress the opportunity to thoroughly consider the ramifications of what is being proposed.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Lacy', written over the closing 'Yours very truly,'.

David Lacy
President & CEO

WDL:lmw